

[3rd November 1931]

*Extension of the scheme of honorary service in Government medical institutions.*

\* 607 Q.—Mr. C. BASU DEV: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether the Government contemplate any extension of the scheme of honorary service in Government medical institutions and whether they have appointed any committee to make recommendations as to the desirability of such extension;

(b) if so, whether the Committee has sent any recommendations and whether the Government will place them on the table of the House; and

(c) whether the Government have received and are still receiving complaints of indifference against the medical officers of the Government in charge of hospitals?

A.—(a) & (b) A Committee was appointed by the Government to consider the question of revising the rules relating to the appointment of honorary medical officers in Government medical institutions and to make any other recommendations concerning these honorary appointments not involving extra expenditure. The Committee has submitted its report and it has been published for general information in G.O. No. 1705, P.H., dated 11th September 1931, placed on the table.

(c) No.

*Termination of the services of probationary assistant surgeons as a measure of retrenchment.*

\* 608 Q.—Mr. A. B. SHETTY: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether as a measure of retrenchment the services of any probationary assistant surgeons have recently been terminated, and, if so, of how many; and

(b) how many assistant surgeons and District Medical officers who have put in 25 or more years of service are now under Government employment?

A.—(a) Yes. Thirteen of the most junior probationary assistant surgeons have been discharged.

(b) Ten civil assistant surgeons and eleven civil surgeons.

Khan Bahadur MAHMUD SCHAMNAD SAHIB Bahadur:—“ May I know, Sir, whether the hon. Minister for Local Self-Government is aware that as a matter of retrenchment the Government would have effected much larger saving by discharging the civil surgeons and assistant surgeons who have put in 25 years' service or more instead of discharging these probationers? ”